

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358046593>

# Comparison of Efficiency and Sensitivity between (Three Sigma, Six Sigma and Median Absolute Deviation) by using X- bar Control Chart

Article in *Qalaai Zanist Scientific Journal* · April 2018

DOI: 10.25212/lfu.qzj.3.2.33

CITATIONS

4

READS

64

3 authors, including:



Hawkar Qasim Birdawod

Cihan University-Erbil

28 PUBLICATIONS 158 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

## **Comparison of Efficiency and Sensitively between (Three Sigma, Six Sigma and Median Absolute Deviation) by using X- bar Control Chart**

**Dr. Hawkar Qasim Birdawod.**

nahic University

[hawker.q@cihanuniversity.edu.iq.com](mailto:hawker.q@cihanuniversity.edu.iq.com)

**Dashty Ismil Jamil**

Department of Accounting & Finance, College of administration and Economics,  
Lebanese French University [Dashty@lfu.edu.krd](mailto:Dashty@lfu.edu.krd).

**Dlshad Mahmood Saleh**

Department of Statistics, College of administration and Economics, Salahaddin University

[dlshad.saleh@su.edu.krd.com](mailto:dlshad.saleh@su.edu.krd.com)

### **ARTICLE INFO**

#### **Article History:**

Received: 27/2/2018

Accepted: 25/3/2018

Published: Spring 2018

Doi:

**10.25212/lfu.qzj.3.2.33**

**Keywords:** *quality control chart, mean chart, six sigma, capability, median absolute deviation.*

### **ABSTRACT**

The main objective of any productivity operation is to get high quality materials and conform to the specifications so as to meet consumer desires. The quality is a principle in which some may think it is new, but it is as old as human, because the man was still in a permanent search for a good thing, and paying attention to the quality. Quality has great care in the industrialized developed countries being of economies exporter seek to control the foreign markets, scale estimators are very important in many statistical applications. The most common of scale estimators is the sample standard deviation. Standard deviation is non-robust to slight deviations from normality. The present study introduces the Comparison between another robust measure of dispersion namely Modified **(Three Sigma, Six Sigma and Median Absolute Deviation)** based on Shewhart mean control chart. When we compare robust methods, six sigma method have better property than the other robust methods, because control chart based on six sigma have the smallest control interval and also have the most number of out of control points.

## I. INTRODUCTION

A control chart is an important aid or statistical device used for the study and control chart of the repetitive Process. Control charts were developed by Dr.W.A. Shewhart and it is based upon the fact that variability does exist in all the repetitive processes. Specifying the control limits is the most important step in designing a control chart. When the limits are narrow, the risk of a point falling beyond the limits increases, and hence increase the false indication that the process is out of control. If the limits are wider, the risk increases the points falling within the limits, falsely indicates that the process is in control<sup>[1]</sup>. There are many measures of scale available in literature and many control charts are available to control process dispersion or the dispersion of quality characteristics.

### Quality Control Charts<sup>[2] [3]</sup>


A quality control chart (also called process chart) is a graph that shows average for the data (output) or the product fall within the common or normal range of variation if the process is under statistical control. Quality control charts were first invented by Walter A. Shewhart, and developed by him and his associate. He published a complete exposition of control charts in 1931. Which used by Shewhart in the construction of his charts. He concluded that a distribution can be transformed into a normal shape by estimating its mean and standard deviation. Shewhart's idea was whether the production process is going well and naturally and the points plotted on the chart follow a normal distribution. For these reasons, Shewhart resorted to use the normal distribution in the construction of his charts.

Let  $w$  be a sample statistic that measures some quality characteristic of interest, and suppose that the mean of  $w$  is  $M_w$  and the Standard deviation of  $w$  is  $S_w$ . Then the center line, the upper control limit, and the lower control limit become. <sup>[3]</sup>

$$CL = T = M_w$$

$$UCL = M_w + 3\hat{\sigma}_w$$

$$LCL = M_w - 3\hat{\sigma}_w$$



**Figure 1: Normal distribution of continuous variables<sup>[3]</sup>**

### **Classification of control charts<sup>[2] [3]</sup>**

Control charts may be classified into two main types, which are:

#### **1. VARIABLE CONTROL CHARTS**

These charts are used in process control of products when the items produced are measurable (in one of the units of measurement).

The most important types of variable control charts can be divided into two types:

##### **1.1 Shewhart Variable Charts:** the most familiar Shewhart charts are

- a. Average – Chart (or  $\bar{x}$ - chart)
- b. Standard Deviation- Chart (S-chart)
- c. Range - Chart (R-chart)
- d. Individual – Chart(X- chart).
- e. Median chart (Me- chart).

##### **2.1 Non-Shewhart Variable Charts:** the most popular

###### **❖ Classic Variable Charts:<sup>[2] [3]</sup>**

- a. Cumulative sum control chart(CUSUM-chart)
- b. Moving average chart (MA-chart)
- c. Moving range chart (MR-chart)
- d. Geometric Moving average chart (GMA-chart)

#### **2. Attributes Control Charts**

Attribute control charts are used when:

- (a) Measurements are not possible (e.g., defect such as dented cans).
- (b) Measurements are not practical (e.g., lengthy chemical analyses of raw products).

(c) Several characteristics are combined on one chart (e.g., counts of different kinds of defects). In this case, the various characteristics can be lumped together into a single chart, or at most two or three charts, each covering that group of characteristics which reflects their importance such as minor, major, and critical.<sup>[3]</sup>

**The attribute control charts can be classified into:** <sup>[2]</sup>

- a. Defective or nonconforming chart. p-chart (fraction nonconforming)
- b. np-chart (number nonconforming).
- c. Defects or nonconformities charts. C-chart (number of nonconformities).
- d. U-chart (average number of nonconformities).

The following are charts used in this paper:

❖ **X-Bar chart based on Three sigma(3σ)**

Use x-Bar charts to monitor the changes in the mean of a process,

The center line of the x-Bar chart is calculated as follows:

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{x}_j / m$$

The control limits of the mean chart based on three sigma is calculated as follows:

$$UCL = \bar{x} + 3\hat{\sigma}$$

$$LCL = \bar{x} - 3\hat{\sigma}$$

❖ **X-Bar chart based on Median Absolute Deviation**

The Median Absolute Deviation from the sample median (MAD) is a very robust scale estimator than the sample standard deviation <sup>[1]</sup>. It measures the deviation of the data from the sample median. The MAD is often used as an initial value for the computation of more efficient robust estimators. Let

$x_1, x_2 \dots x_n$  be a simple random sample of size n observation taken over (m).

$$MAD = 1.4826MD \{ |X_i - MD| \} \dots (2)$$

Where, MD is the median of  $x_1, x_2 \dots x_n$ . The average of the MAD is computed using:

$$\overline{MAD} = \sum_{i=1}^m MAD / m \dots (3)$$

When MAD is used as an estimate of variability, then MAD will be used as a replacement of the standard deviation (S), thus,  $\hat{\sigma} = b_n \overline{MAD}$  <sup>[1]</sup>. The control limits and central line for the mean control chart based on the MAD are calculated as follows:

$$UCL = \bar{X} + 3b_n \overline{MAD}$$

$$CL = \bar{X} \dots (4)$$

$$LCL = \bar{X} - 3b_n \overline{MAD}$$

Let  $A_5 = 3b_n$ , then the control limits in Eq. 4 will be reduced to Eq.:

$$UCL = \bar{\bar{X}} + A_5 \overline{MAD}$$

$$CL = \bar{\bar{X}} \quad \dots (5)$$

$$LCL = \bar{\bar{X}} - A_5 \overline{MAD}$$

The values of ( $A_5$ ) are computed and are presented in Table (A) in the appendix for various values of n.

❖ **X-bar chart based on Six Sigma ( $6\sigma$ )**

Six Sigma has been successfully applied in other manufacturing sectors. Developed by Motorola in 1983, from the statistical point of view, the term six sigma is defined as having less than 3.4 defects per million opportunities (DPMO) or a success rate of 99.9997% where sigma is a term used to represent the variation about the process average. If an organization is operating at three sigma levels for quality control [5], this is interpreted as achieving a success rate of 93% or 66,800 defects per million opportunities. Therefore, the six sigma method is a very rigorous quality control concept where many organizations still performs at three sigma level. The generating unit. Will include defining the importance of the quality of critical elements as defined by the consumer, the reduction to the minimum deviation in the operations and improve the capacity and increase the consistency and stability of the manufacturing process, As well as systems that help to achieve the objectives of 6 Sigma design. If the companies practicing Six Sigma initiatives use the control limits suggested by Shewhart, then no point fall outside the control limits because of the improvement in the quality of the process. Which adopt Six Sigma Initiatives [5]:

Concepts and Terminologies [5]:

**1/ Upper Specification Limit (USL)**

**2/ Lower Specification Limit (LSL)**

**3/ Tolerance Level (TL):** It is the difference between USL and LSL,  $TL = USL - LSL$

**4/ Process Capability ( $C_p$ ):** This is the ratio of tolerance level to six times standard deviation of the process.

$$C_p = \frac{USL - LSL}{6\hat{\sigma}}$$

**5/ Subgroup Size (n):**

In order to make control chart analysis effective, it is essential to pay due regard to the rational selection of the subgroups. It is the choice of the sample size n and the frequency of sampling. It is also the number of observed values in any given sample or subgroup.

**6/ Quality Control Constant**

To construct the Six Sigma based control limits the Quality Control constants such as are introduced in this thesis.

$$L_{6\sigma} = \frac{4.831}{\sqrt{n}}$$

**7/ Calculate ( $\sigma_{6\sigma}$ ) and**

**construction six sigma chart**

In this section a procedure to construct a six sigma based control chart for mean. Fix the Tolerance level (TL) and process capability ( $C_p$ ) to determine the process standard deviation

( $\sigma_{6\sigma}$ ). Apply the value of  $\sigma_{6\sigma}$  in the control limits  $\bar{\bar{X}} + L_{6\sigma}\sigma_{6\sigma}$ , to get the Six Sigma based control limits for Mean using Range. The value of  $L_{6\sigma}\sigma_{6\sigma}$  is obtained using  $p(z \leq z_{6\sigma}) = 3.4 * 10^{-6}$  and z is a standard normal variate. For a specified TL and  $C_p$  of the process, the value of  $\sigma$  (termed as  $\sigma_{6\sigma}$ ) is calculated from  $C_p = \frac{TL}{6\sigma}$  presented in Table (B) for various combinations of TL and  $C_p$ . Further the value of  $L_{6\sigma}$  is also obtained using the procedure given above and are presented in Table (C), for different values of n. The six sigma based control limits for mean using range are constructed as:

$$UCL = \bar{\bar{X}} + L_{6\sigma}\sigma_{6\sigma}$$

$$CL = \bar{\bar{X}}$$

$$LCL = \bar{\bar{X}} - L_{6\sigma}\sigma_{6\sigma}$$

**Application**

The example provided by Mohammed, S.O. (2013, Page No. 45) is considered here. The following data are the results of width (mm) of (PP Box strapping, Plastic Maudling components, and corrugated Boxes) bases for samples of five measurements.

(For width = 12mm)

Data collection (width)

Table 1: width of (PP Box strapping, Plastic Maudling components, and corrugated Boxes).

Sample no.	Sample observation					Mean	DAM
1	11.55	11.91	11.43	11.3	11.42	11.522	0.177912
2	11.57	12.15	11.16	12.18	11.65	11.742	0.726474
3	11.66	11.8	12	11.76	11.55	11.754	0.14826
4	12.29	11.8	12.22	12	11.88	12.038	0.29652
5	12.2	11.87	12.2	12.55	12.25	12.214	0.07413
6	11.8	11.85	11.75	12.15	12.05	11.92	0.14826
7	11.6	11.55	11.6	11.55	11.6	11.58	0
8	11.55	11.6	11.55	11.6	11.55	11.57	0
9	11.6	11.55	11.6	11.55	11.6	11.58	0
10	11.55	11.6	11.55	11.6	11.55	11.57	0
11	11.6	11.55	11.6	11.55	11.6	11.58	0

12	11.55	11.6	11.55	11.6	11.55	11.57	0
13	11.57	11.55	11.16	11.16	11.65	11.418	0.14826
14	11.8	11.66	11.76	11.55	12	11.754	0.14826
15	12.05	12	11.8	11.66	11.55	11.812	0.29652

❖ **Construction of Control Limits three Sigma for Shewhart (X-Bar Chart)**

$$CL = \bar{\bar{X}} + 3\hat{\sigma} = 11.70 + 3(0.216) = 12.36$$

$$CL = \bar{\bar{X}} = 11.70$$

$$LCL = \bar{\bar{X}} - 3\hat{\sigma} = 11.70 - 3(0.216) = 11.06$$

❖ **Construction of Control Limits (MAD) for X-Bar Chart**

$$UCL = \bar{\bar{X}} + A_5 \overline{MAD} = 11.70 + (3.618)(0.144) = 12.230$$

$$CL = \bar{\bar{X}} = 11.70$$

$$LCL = \bar{\bar{X}} - A_5 \overline{MAD} = 11.70 - (3.618)(0.144) = 11.186$$

(From the Table A,  $A_5 = 3.618$  for sample size  $n = 5$ ).

❖ **Construction of Control Limits Six Sigma for X-Bar Chart**

For a given  $(TL = USL - LSL = 12.55 - 11.16 = 1.39)$  &  $CP = 1.45$ , it is found from the Table– B that the value of  $(\sigma_{6\sigma})$  is 0.15, the value of  $6L_{6\sigma}$  is obtained from Table– C for  $n = 5$  as 2.16 and the Six Sigma based control limits for X-bar chart.

$$UCL = \bar{\bar{X}} + L_{6\sigma} \sigma_{6\sigma} = 11.70 + (2.16)(0.15) = 12.02$$



$$CL = \bar{\bar{X}} = 11.70$$

$$LCL = \bar{\bar{X}} - L_{6\sigma} \sigma_{6\sigma} = 11.70 - (2.16)(0.15) = 11.38$$


**Table2.** Control limits of control charts based on scale estimators

	Three sigma	MAD	Six sigma
LCL	12.36	12.23	12.02
CL	11.70	11.70	11.70
UCL	11.06	11.19	11.38

<b>Out of control</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
-----------------------	----------	----------	----------



**Figure 2: X-Bar chart based on MAD**  
**Figure 3: X-Bar chart based on six sigma**



**Figure 4: X-Bar chart based on three sigma**

## II. CONCLUSION

When we compare robust methods, six sigma method have better property than the other robust methods, because control chart based on six sigma have the smallest control interval and also have the most number of out of control point

## References

1. Abu-Shawiesh, M.O.A., (2008). A simple Robust Control Chart Based on MAD, Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 4(2), 102-107.
2. Besterfield, D. H. (2004) "Quality Control". 7th Edition, Prentice-Hall Inc. New York, U.S.A.

3. D.C. Montgomery, "Introduction to statistical Quality Control (3rd Edition)", John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, (2001), 217-220.
4. Rousseeuw, P.J. and Croux, C., (1993). Alternatives to the median absolute deviation, Journal of the American Stat. Assoc., 80, 1273-1283.
5. R. Radhakrishnan, P. Balamurugan, "Construction of control charts based on six sigma initiatives", Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Trends in Information Technology and Business Intelligence (ITBI 2009), Institute of Management Technology, Nagpur, India. Nov 4-6, (2009b), 356-361.
6. . Radhakrishnan and P. Balamurugan, "Control charts based on six sigma Initiatives for proportion defectives and number of defectives", International Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering (IJMSE) (accepted) 2010b.
7. R. Radhakrishnan, and P. Balamurugan, "Construction of six sigma based X-Bar control chart using standard deviation",

### الملخص

إن الهدف الرئيسي لأية عملية إنتاجية هي الحصول على مواد ذات جودة عالية ومطابقة للمواصفات، بحيث تلبى رغبات المستهلك. الجودة هي الأساس التي قد يعتقد البعض بأنها جديدة، لكنها قديمة قدم الإنسانية، لأن الإنسان كان ولا يزال في بحث دائم عن شيء جيد ويولي إهتمامه ب الجودة. الجودة تحظى باهتمام كبير في الدول المتقدمة صناعياً كونها مصدرة للاقتصادات وتسعى للتحكم بالأسواق الخارجية.

إن مقياس المقدرات مهم للغاية في العديد من التطبيقات الإحصائية . فمن أكثر مقاييس المقدرات شيوعاً هو الانحراف المعياري للعينة. يعتبر الانحراف المعياري مقياساً غير حصين للانحرافات الطفيفة عن التوزيع الطبيعي.

يعرض الدراسة الحالية مقارنة بين مقياس حصين آخر للتشتت وهو (سيغما ثلاثة، سيغما ستة والانحراف المطلق الوسيط) معتمداً على لوحة سيطرة الوسط الحسابي لشيوارت . عندما نقارن الطرق الحصينة، فإن طريقة ستة سيغما لها خاصية أفضل من الطرق الحصينة الأخرى، لأن لوحة السيطرة المعتمدة على ستة سيغما تمتلك أصغر فترة سيطرة ويحتوي أيضاً على أكبر عدد من نقاط خارج السيطرة.

نامانجی سهره کی بو هەر پروسه یه کی بهرهم هینان بریتیه له گه یشتن به مهوادینک جوژه که ی باش بیست و ستاندارد بیست وه له گه ل داواکاری کریار بگوئییت . جوژی بریتیه له بنچینه ی باشی که ئەمەش ئاره زووی مروثه کانه هەر له کوته وه چونکه مروثه بهردهوام ده گهریت بهدوای شتی باش وه گرنگی به جوژی شته کان ده دات . وه جوژی جیی بایه خیی زویره وولاتانی پیشکه وتوو په شه سازیه کانه چونکه سهرچاوه ی نابوو ریه و هاوکات بو ده ست گرته سهر بازاره کانی دهره وه، خه ملاندنی خه ملینراوه کان زوژ گرنگه به تاییه ت له جیه جیکراوه ناماریه کان وه گرنگ ترین پیوه ره کانی په رشوو بلاوی بریتیه له لادانی پیوانه ی وه ئەم خه ملینه ره به هیز نیه له دیاری کردنی لادانه بچوو که کان له له باری ناسیدا . ئەم توژی نه وه هه لده ستییت به بهر اوورد کردن له نیوان ئەم خه ملینه رانه (سی سیگما و شه ش سیگما و لادانی ناوه راستی روت ) له سهر بنه مای تابلوی شیوارت بو کونترولی جوژی . گه یشتینه ئەو نهجامه ی که خه ملینه ری شه ش سیگما باشه له خه ملینه ره کانی تر له بهر نه وه ی ئەو تابلویه ی که پشت ده به ستییت به شه ش سیگما ماوه ی نیوان بهرترین ناست و نزمترین ناست له تابلوی شه ش سیگما که مزه بهر اوورد به تابلو کانی تر وه ههروه ها زوژترین خال که وتوو هته دهره وه ی کونترولی له تابلوی شه ش سیگما.

**APPENDIX**

The Control limits factors for X- Bar chart based MAD		$\sigma_{6\sigma}$ Values for a specified Cp and TL		$L_{6\sigma}$ Values for a Specified Subgroup Size (n)	
n	$A_5$	CP	TL=1.39	n	$L_{6\sigma}$
2	3.58	1	0.2363	2	3.4160
		1.1	0.2085		
3	4.48	1.2	0.1807	3	2.7891
		1.3	0.1946		
4	4.08	1.4	0.1668	4	2.4155
		1.5	0.1529		
5	3.62	1.6	0.1390	5	2.1604
		1.7	0.1390		
6	3.60	1.8	0.1251	6	1.9722
		1.9	0.1251		
7	3.42	2	0.1112	7	1.8259
		2.1	0.1112		
8	3.38	2.2	0.1112	8	1.7080
		2.3	0.0973		
9	3.32	2.4	0.0973	9	1.6103
		2.5	0.0973		
10	3.26			10	1.5276