



Utilizing different machine learning methods to accurately predict density, temperature, velocity, and thermal conductivity of hydrophilic, hydrophobic, and compound materials

Abdullah H. Al-Shanfari^a, Maha A. Rashed^b, As'ad Al-Saadi^b, Fouad M. Al-Ghamdi^{a,b,c,d}
^aSaudi Aramco Research Center, P.O. Box 20, Dhahran 31511, Saudi Arabia; ^bMechanical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia; ^cCenter of Excellence in Nonlinear Dynamics, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia; ^dCollege of Engineering, Majlis Al-Uloom, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

Received 20 June 2020; accepted 20 August 2020

+ Author Penalties | Share | 33 cites

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmolec.2020.108636>

Check for updates

Highlights

- Different machine learning regression techniques were used to predict the k_{th} .
- Gaussian regression method produced the best results based on research comparing these methods.
- Best results in the velocity field through L2Based regression.
- All of the trained models have a strong correlation with real-world values.

Abstract

A learning algorithm is a set of instructions used in machine learning that enables a computer program to imitate how humans improve at describing specific types of data. As programming is exposed to more data, math, and logic that support a learning algorithm can be updated (without human intervention) over time. In this study, different machine learning regression methods were used to predict the thermal conductivity (k_{th}) -related physical properties of tested samples. Different parameters were considered such as density, temperature, rate, velocity, and k_{th} . k_{th} is the most significant to improve heat transfer. Gaussian regression, linear regression, support vector regression, generalized linear regression, regression tree, artificial neural network (ANN), L2Based Ensemble, and bag Ensemble were used. Gaussian regression method was produced the best results based on research comparing these methods. This method produced more accurate results in four fields (density, temperature, rate, and k_{th}) than other methods. However, the best results in velocity field via L2Based regression, which entails calculating the RMSE and PCT. After selecting the optimal regression, the results of this regression should be validated. All of trained models had a strong correlation with real-world values, based on the findings of study, which are positive. Finally, it was determined that the covariance function was the only parameter that affected the RMSE results in Gaussian regression. This study illustrates the effect of using distinct covariance functions for each RMSE physical properties. Density is expressed over exponential function, and velocity and rate are expressed in another OJ. The temperature was expressed due to the exponential square, and k_{th} was expressed in terms of exponential.